		8861) AGA 6 S CW LEI-26
		S 4238-88 April 1988
MEMORANDUM FOR:	Requirements and Evaluation Office	
FROM:		
SUBJECT:	Director, Planning and Policy Office DCI Guidance for Intelligence Requirement	nts and Evaluation
Intelligence Strain is intended to	norandum contains information on the <u>Nationategy (NFIS)</u> and the <u>DCI's Annual Report</u> supplement and clarify material in Sectional evaluation guidance that you have circu	to the Congress. It n III of the draft
	•	
accurate descriphowever, does not selective and apperformance evaluation rigidly defined activities; he the NFIS, a continuous for the Annual Resident correst difficult, give strong linkage the proposed guato mold the Annual focus for the Residuent focus focus for the Residuent focus focus focus for the Residuent focus foc	t covering the Annual Report (page III-3 potion of recent editions of the Report. The attempt to be a comprehensive review of necdotal in its approach and is thus not a luation role. Moreover, the structure of a The DCI is obligated only to submit a need not use the current approach. Since accious effort has been made to reflect its eport. No attempt has been made, however bondence between the two documents. Siming the sweeping nature of the Annual Report than the proposed NSOs and NPAs idance would have the effect of limiting ual Report as he desired; the text clearly eport than has been the case.	of the draft) is an The Annual Report, f the year; it is well suited to a the Report is not report on intelligence the publication of s themes and concerns , to effect a finely larly, it would be t, to construct a . The draft text in the DCI's flexibility y implies a sharper
accurate descriphowever, does not selective and apperformance evaluativities; he the NFIS, a continuativities; he the Annual R detailed correst difficult, gives strong linkage the proposed guato mold the Annual focus for the R. 3. The description of the R.	otion of recent editions of the <u>Report</u> . In attempt to be a comprehensive review of necdotal in its approach and is thus not a luation role. Moreover, the structure of the DCI is obligated only to submit a need not use the current approach. Since scious effort has been made to reflect it eport. No attempt has been made, however condence between the two documents. Similar the sweeping nature of the <u>Annual Reported</u> netwood that the proposed NSOs and NPAs idance would have the effect of limiting ual Report as he desired; the <u>text clearly</u>	of the draft) is an The Annual Report, f the year; it is well suited to a the Report is not report on intelligence the publication of s themes and concerns, to effect a finely larly, it would be t, to construct a. The draft text in the DCI's flexibility y implies a sharper e (page III-4) a document used to
accurate descriphowever, does not selective and apperformance evaluativities; he the NFIS, a continuativities; he the Annual R detailed correst difficult, gives strong linkage the proposed guato mold the Annual focus for the R. 3. The description of the R.	ot attempt to be a comprehensive review of necdotal in its approach and is thus not a luation role. Moreover, the structure of the DCI is obligated only to submit a need not use the current approach. Since scious effort has been made to reflect it apport. No attempt has been made, however bondence between the two documents. Siming the sweeping nature of the Annual Report than has been the text clearly all Report as he desired; the text clearly apport than has been the case.	of the draft) is an The Annual Report, f the year; it is well suited to a the Report is not report on intelligence the publication of s themes and concerns, to effect a finely larly, it would be t, to construct a. The draft text in the DCI's flexibility y implies a sharper e (page III-4) a document used to
accurate descriphowever, does not selective and apperformance evaluativities; he the NFIS, a continuativities; he the Annual R detailed correst difficult, gives strong linkage the proposed guato mold the Annual focus for the R. 3. The description of the R.	ot attempt to be a comprehensive review of necdotal in its approach and is thus not a luation role. Moreover, the structure of the DCI is obligated only to submit a need not use the current approach. Since scious effort has been made to reflect it apport. No attempt has been made, however bondence between the two documents. Siming the sweeping nature of the Annual Report than has been the text clearly all Report as he desired; the text clearly apport than has been the case.	of the draft) is an The Annual Report, f the year; it is well suited to a the Report is not report on intelligence the publication of s themes and concerns, to effect a finely larly, it would be t, to construct a. The draft text in the DCI's flexibility y implies a sharper e (page III-4) a document used to
accurate descriphowever, does not selective and apperformance evaluativities; he the NFIS, a continuativities; he the Annual R detailed correst difficult, gives strong linkage the proposed guato mold the Annual focus for the R. 3. The description of the R.	ot attempt to be a comprehensive review of necdotal in its approach and is thus not a luation role. Moreover, the structure of the DCI is obligated only to submit a need not use the current approach. Since scious effort has been made to reflect it apport. No attempt has been made, however bondence between the two documents. Siming the sweeping nature of the Annual Report than has been the text clearly all Report as he desired; the text clearly apport than has been the case.	of the draft) is an The Annual Report, f the year; it is well suited to a the Report is not report on intelligence the publication of s themes and concerns, to effect a finely larly, it would be t, to construct a. The draft text in the DCI's flexibility y implies a sharper e (page III-4) a document used to tegy. That basic

2

SUBULCI. DO	Guidance for Intelligence Requirements and Evaluation	
that is more the <u>Strategy</u> is intended significant	removed from programmatic issues than is the <u>Update</u> . Moreover, is not published in the fourth quarter of each year. Rather, it to be published only every three to five years (and sooner only if circumstances dictate). The vehicle we have used to sound <u>NFIS</u> annual basis is Volume One of the CBJB, which echoes <u>NFIS</u> keep them before the Community without imposing the staffing costs	
that a year significant	y update would entail (an update that would very likely yield no new judgments, given the broad perspective of the Strategy). uld be happy to discuss any of these issues with you, should you	25

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/07/03 : CIA-RDP90M00551R000900470020-9

SECRET

SECRET

Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2013/07/03 : CIA-RDP90M00551R000900470020-9

SUBJECT: DCI Guidance fo	r Intelligence Requirements and Evaluation	25X ₁
DISTRIBUTION: (ICS 4238-	88)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Original - Addressee 2 - D/PPO 3 - PPO Subjec 4 - PPO Chrono 5 - ICS Regist		25X′
DCI/ICS/PPO	(28 April 1988)	25X1

SECRET